

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/277,356	03/26/1999	BYOUNG KU KIM	8733D.6965	3421
30827	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			TON, MINH TOAN T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/277,356	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Toan Ton	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	larch 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4)	3,33 <i>and 34</i> is/are withdrawi	n from consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been rule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	AND THE PROPERTY OF STREET	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-3, 6, 15-17, 20, 29-32, 35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 5835139. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both comprise common and overlapping subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/277,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

3. Claims 1-3, 6, 15-17, 20, 29-32, 35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-65 of U.S. Patent No. 5926237. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both comprise common and overlapping subject matter.

4. Claims 1-3, 6, 15-17, 20, 29-32, 35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6373537. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both comprise common and overlapping subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 15-17, 20, 29-32, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masanori (JP 07-099394).

Application/Control Number: 09/277,356 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Masanori discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising: a liquid crystal panel 2 including a display area; first and second frames coupled to sides and edges of the liquid crystal panel; an outer casing disposed on the liquid crystal panel; the edges including a plurality of mounting holes, wherein the holes receives fastening screws.

Fastening means such as unthreaded fasteners, screws are common and known in the art.

Masanori shows the holes of the casing aligned with the mounting holes.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

US Pat No. 5835139, 5926237 and 6373537 recite in the claims common and overlapping subject matter as to the present claimed invention. The claimed invention comprises subject matter that is not patentably distinct from the patents (w/ the common assignee).

Masanori discloses the claimed invention except for the use of particular fastening means, however, fastening means such as unthreaded fasteners, screws are common and known in the art. Further, Masanori shows the holes of the casing aligned with the mounting holes.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 20, 2004

TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER